

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/808,546	03/25/2004	Shuhei Yada	1417-454	1417-454 4895	
23117	7590 10/02/2006		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			ZUCKER, PAUL A		
	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FI N, VA 22203	LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1621		
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/808,546		YADA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Paul A. Zucke	<u>r</u> .	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI INSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS CFR 1.136(a). In no event, h tion. period will apply and will exp y statute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION . lowever, may a reply be time or six (6) MONTHS from the control to become ABANDONED	Bly filed ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ı		•				
2a) <u></u>		This action is non-	final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction as	nd/or election require	ement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a)☐ All_ b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
- 3	see the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified	copies not received	.				
Attachmen	•	1	7 1	DTO 440'				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infon	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) [Notice of Informal Par					
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) [Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/808,546

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7 and 9-14, drawn to an oxidation reactor, classified in class 422, subclass 158.
- II. Claims 8 and 15, drawn to a process for producing (meth)acrylic acids, classified in class 562, subclass 598.
- III. Claims 12-20 drawn to a method for analyzing an easily polymerizable compound by gas analysis, classified in class 422, subclass 83.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus, for example one without a manhole nozzle.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the method for gas analysis does not employ the oxidation reactor except as a

Art Unit: 1621

source of analyte and the oxidation reactor cannot be employed to perform the gas analysis.

Page 3

Inventions II and III are directed to related inventions. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are related process claims that share the goal of producing of a gaseous, easily polymerizable product. Art anticipating or rendering obvious one process would not anticipate or render obvious the other. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because each invention requires a search that is not co-extensive with that required for any other invention(see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,546 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

1. No telephone call was made to request an oral election because of the complexity of

the subject matter.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i)

an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the

elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement,

the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now

of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit

on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in

a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

2. Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1-20 are restricted.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,546

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Zucker whose telephone number is 571-272-0650. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-2:00.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PAUL A. ZÜCKER, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER